

Atty. Dkt. No. 016907-1576

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

Applicant: Haruki TODA et al.  
Title: SEMICONDUCTOR MEMORY DEVICE  
Appl. No.: 10/688,881  
Filing Date: 10/21/2003  
Examiner: V. Nguyen  
Art Unit: 2827

**STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW**

Commissioner for Patents  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:


In accordance with the request in the Interview Summary of November 28, 2005, that the applicant file a statement of substance of interview, please be advised that the Examiner's Interview Summary (copy attached), accurately summarizes the interview, except that line 3 should read "greater than or equal to 2".

Respectfully submitted,

Date December 8, 2005

FOLEY & LARDNER LLP  
Customer Number: 22428  
Telephone: (202) 672-5426  
Facsimile: (202) 672-5399

By

  
Glenn Law  
Attorney for Applicant  
Registration No. 34,371

OTPE  
DEC 08 2005  
U.S. PATENT & TRADEMARK OFFICE

### Interview Summary

Application No.

10/688,881

Applicant(s)

TODA ET AL

Examiner

Viet Q. Nguyen

Art Unit

2827

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Viet Q. Nguyen.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(2) Mr. Glen Law.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

Date of Interview: 28 November 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_

Claim(s) discussed: None.

Identification of prior art discussed: None.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The last examiner's Statement of Reasons For Allowance is defective because of a typing mistake on the symbol N value (i.e.,  $N >> 2$ ). Accordingly, the correct symbol should be typed as ( $N \geq 2$ ). In other words, it should be interpreted that "the value of N is greater than or equal 2". The error is regretted, and this interview summary is to correct that typing error and to be acknowledged by the examiner.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

VIET Q. NGUYEN  
PRIMARY EXAMINER

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

V. Nguyen  
Examiner's signature, if required